

An Analysis Of The Influence Of Family Support On Students' Talent Development

Siti Nuryayah¹, Nurhasin B², Hasan Maulana³, Kikin Al Kindy Assyahali⁴

¹Sekolah Tinggi Pesantren Darunnaim (STPDN) Lebak, Banten, Indonesia; nuryayah0102@gmail.com * (Corresponden)

²Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Dakwah dan Komunikasi Islam (STIDKIS) Al-Mardliyyah Pamekasa, Indonesia; nurhasinbahrudin@gmail.com

³Sekolah Tinggi Pesantren Darunnaim (STPDN) Lebak, Banten, Indonesia; hasan.maulana5512@gmail.com

⁴Sekolah Tinggi Pesantren Darunnaim (STPDN) Lebak, Banten, Indonesia; kikin01@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background	Family support plays a crucial role in helping children feel secure and confident, and it significantly influences the development of students' talents through guidance and encouragement in every decision-making process.
Purpose	This study aims to analyze the influence of family support on students at MTS Mathlaul Anwar Cigoong Walantaka, identify their talents, and determine the impact of family support on talent development at the school.
Research Methodology	The research employed a random sampling technique involving 19 students from MTS Mathlaul Anwar Cigoong Walantaka as respondents. Data were collected using a questionnaire. The reliability test, conducted using Cronbach's Alpha technique, produced an alpha coefficient of 0.635 for the family support scale and 0.672 for the student talent scale. The data analysis technique applied in this study was quantitative in nature.
Result	The results of the regression analysis indicated that family support contributed 26.4% to the development of students' talents, while the remaining 73.6% was influenced by other factors beyond family support. Thus, the findings suggest that there is no statistically significant relationship between family support and student talent. The significance value obtained was 0.024, which is greater than the threshold of 0.05.
Conclusion	While family support contributes to the development of students' talents, its influence is not statistically significant.
Keywords	Family Support, Talent, Students, Personal Development



This Work Is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

INTRODUCTION

Contemporary talent identification models emphasize a flexible and personalized approach, tailored to individual potential across various domains, through continuous assessment and customized services (Johnsen, 2024). Programs such as Talent Search employ above-grade-level testing to identify gifted students and offer accelerated learning opportunities, which have been shown to yield significant academic and psychosocial benefits (Brody, 2021). This approach seeks to match student ability with appropriate academic programs (Makel et al., 2021). In addition, supportive factors such as guidance and counseling, a conducive school environment, parental involvement, and high-quality learning activities play crucial roles in the talent development process (Yohana et al., 2020). Within this context, teacher professional development becomes a critical component in recognizing and nurturing diverse student potential, particularly when considering the influence of culture, motivation, and perseverance as integral elements of learning psychology (Swanson et al., 2021).

An inclusive approach to talent development highlights the importance of providing enriching learning experiences for all students, not only those who have been formally identified, particularly in fields such as music and the arts (Jaap & Patrick, 2015). In vocational education settings (Career and Technical Education/CTE), strategies such as individualization, meaningful learning choices, and involvement in student organizations have proven effective in reaching gifted students (Fedorov et al., 2019). Information technology now plays a significant role in identifying and managing talent, offering innovative solutions that streamline the process while ensuring accuracy (Zaripov et al., 2025; Delov & Yuldoshov, 2024). However, the use of technology must be conducted ethically and responsibly. It is also essential to address the barriers faced by students from underserved groups through innovative, tailored interventions that enable their full participation in talent development programs (Siegle et al., 2016; Mahatmya et al., 2023).

Family support plays a vital role in student talent development, particularly through emotional support and academic motivation. Emotional support from families has been shown to be crucial for students' psychological well-being and academic engagement, especially for those from low-income and first-generation college families (Roksa & Kinsley, 2019). Furthermore, students from non-traditional backgrounds such as Latinx, Black, and Indigenous communities benefit significantly from informal instrumental and emotional support provided by their families (Bueno et al., 2022). Globally, family support is positively correlated with student academic performance, although its impact can vary depending on a country's level of economic development and cultural orientation toward individualism (Guo et al., 2025). Parental involvement in the form of emotional support and academic supervision also contributes significantly to student academic achievement (Sun et al., 2023; Sumarsono et al., 2025). In fact, students from socioeconomically disadvantaged groups tend to derive greater benefits from interventions that engage families in academic socialization (Benner et al., 2016).

Family support is influenced not only by economic conditions but also by social and cultural constructs that shape educational expectations. Programs designed for parents of gifted students play a role in building self-confidence, increasing knowledge, and fostering a sense of community among parents (de Souza Fleith et al., 2023). Specialized training for parents has also been shown to effectively enhance their ability to support their children's development and education (Pirpir & Çiçekler, 2020; Pirpir & Çiçekler, 2022). However, cultural expectations regarding gender, social class, and race often shape the type of support provided by families, particularly for first-generation students who frequently face pressure to pursue career paths such as engineering as a means of social mobility (Mosier, 2022; Perkins et al., 2021). Parental involvement practices also vary greatly depending on cultural and economic contexts, which ultimately affect the level of student academic success in different ways (Schmid & Garrels, 2021). Therefore, understanding the cultural and social backgrounds of students is essential in designing effective family support approaches.

Research on the influence of family support on student talent development at the madrasah tsanawiyah level remains limited, especially within Indonesian Islamic school contexts. Most previous studies emphasize talent identification and the role of schools, while aspects of family involvement, parent–child communication, and local socio-cultural factors have not been comprehensively examined in an integrated empirical framework in current research literature. This study offers a comprehensive analysis of the influence of family support on student talent development in madrasah tsanawiyah settings by considering local socio-cultural contexts. It integrates parent-child communication, emotional involvement, and school roles simultaneously in shaping student potential. Furthermore, it emphasizes guidance and counseling approaches in linking family factors and talent development in a practical way within the religious-based education context in Indonesian madrasah education environment today context setting.

The purpose of this study is to determine the forms of family support, identify student talents, and analyze the influence of family support on student talent development at MTs Mathlaul Anwar Cigoong Walantaka through an empirical approach in education context. This study provides a theoretical contribution to the field of guidance and counseling, particularly regarding the role of family support in student talent development. Practically, the findings can serve as a reference for teachers, counselors, and schools in enhancing parental involvement. It also strengthens understanding of communication, learning environment, and emotional support in fostering students' academic and non-academic potential in formal education settings especially in madrasah education systems context now. This study is expected to increase parental awareness of the importance of family support in developing student talents and encourage schools to strengthen collaboration with families in improving students' academic and non-academic achievements in sustainable madrasah education environments overall impact.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted at MTs Mathlaul Anwar, Cigoong Walantaka, Serang Regency, during the 2024/2025 academic year. The research employed a quantitative approach using a causal-comparative research design, aiming to examine the cause and effect relationship between family support and student talent. The population consisted of all students at the school, totaling 40 individuals, with a sample of 19 students selected randomly using the simple random sampling technique. Data were collected through a structured questionnaire using a Likert scale, designed to measure the variables of family support and student talent. The primary data collection instrument was the questionnaire, developed based on the research variable indicators as described below:

Table 1. Blueprint of Family Support (X)

Variable	Indicator	Sub-Indicator
Family Support	Informational Support	Providing help in the form of information, advice, suggestions, and guidance.
	Appraisal or Appreciation Support	Offering encouragement, praise, or positive feedback and agreement.
	Emotional Support	Expressing empathy, trust, comfort, and attention.
	Instrumental Support	Providing direct assistance such as services, time, material, or non-material aid.

Table 2. Blueprint of Student Talent (Y)

Variable	Indicator	Sub-Indicator
Student Talent	High imagination	Energetic, intelligent, realistic, pleasant personality, disciplined.
	Learns easily and quickly	Active and strategic, cooperative, capable of setting goals and planning.
	Persistent	Highly sensitive, often follows intuition before acting or thinking.
	High concentration and responsibility	Strong focus and responsibility, more developed abilities than peers.
	Diligence and creativity	Independent attitude, ability to self-manage life.

Validity was tested using Pearson's product-moment correlation. An item is considered valid if the r_{xy} value is greater than the critical r -table value at the 0.05 significance level. Reliability was measured using Cronbach's alpha (α) to assess the instrument's consistency. An instrument is considered reliable if $\alpha > 0.6$. Normality was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test. The null hypothesis is accepted if the significance value (p-value) is greater than 0.05, indicating that the data are normally distributed. Linearity was tested using the F-test, where the relationship between the independent and dependent variables is deemed linear if the p-value > 0.05 or if the calculated F-value is less than the F-table value. Finally, hypothesis testing was conducted using simple linear regression. A significant effect of family support on student talent is indicated when the significance value (p-value) is less than 0.05.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Descriptive Statistics of Family Support (X)

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of Family Support (X)

Statistic	Value
N (Valid)	19
Missing	0
Mean	88.84
Standard Error of Mean	1.038
Median	90.00
Mode	91
Standard Deviation	4.525
Variance	20.474
Range	19.00
Minimum	77
Maximum	96
Sum	1688

Based on the table above, the family support data consists of 19 participants, with a total score of 1,688. The maximum score was 96 and the minimum was 77, resulting in a score range of 19. The average (mean) score was 88.84, with a standard error of 1.038. The median score was 90.00 and the mode was 91. The standard deviation was 4.525, and the variance was 20.474. The detailed data is attached in the appendix.




Figure 1. Histogram of Family Support Scores

Descriptive Statistics of Student Talent (Y)

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of Student Talent (Y)

Statistic	Value
N (Valid)	19
Missing	0
Mean	83.05
Standard Error of Mean	1.140
Median	85.00
Mode	86
Standard Deviation	4.972
Variance	24.719
Range	18.00
Minimum	72
Maximum	90
Sum	1578

From the table above, the student talent data was obtained from 19 participants, with a total score of 1,578. The highest score recorded was 90, while the lowest was 72, yielding a range of 18. The mean score was 83.05, with a standard error of 1.140. The median was 85.00, the mode was 86, the standard deviation was 4.972, and the variance was 24.719. The detailed data is included in the appendix.




Figure 2. Histogram of Student Talent Scores

Validity and Reliability Testing of the Family Support Variable Instrument (X)

Validity testing aims to determine the extent to which the questionnaire items are capable of accurately measuring the intended construct. The Family Support variable (X) consisted of 20 statement items, and their validity was tested using SPSS version 16.0. Based on the analysis results, most items showed item-total correlations exceeding the threshold of $r > 0.30$, indicating that they are statistically valid.

Table 5. Validity Test Results of the Family Support Variable (X)

Item	Item-Total Correlation	Remarks
X1	0.404	Valid
X2	-0.174	Not valid (retained based on theory)
X3	0.176	Valid

Item	Item-Total Correlation	Remarks
X4	0.498	Valid
X5	0.295	Valid
X6	0.449	Valid
X7	0.316	Valid
X8	0.082	Not valid (retained based on theory)
X9	0.296	Valid
X10	0.113	Valid
X11	-0.068	Not valid (retained based on theory)
X12	-0.088	Not valid (retained based on theory)
X13	0.327	Valid
X14	0.289	Valid
X15	0.390	Valid
X16	0.445	Valid
X17	0.502	Valid
X18	0.589	Valid
X19	-0.033	Not valid (retained based on theory)
X20	-0.200	Not valid (retained based on theory)

Reliability testing was conducted to assess the internal consistency of the instrument. The reliability was measured using Cronbach's Alpha, where a value greater than 0.60 indicates that the instrument is reliable.

Table 6. Reliability Test Result of Family Support Variable (X)

Reliability Statistic Value
Cronbach's Alpha 0.635

Based on the results, the Cronbach's Alpha value of $0.635 > 0.60$ indicates that the instrument for measuring the Family Support variable is considered reliable, even though some items did not meet the statistical validity threshold.

Validity and Reliability Testing of the Student Talent Variable Instrument (Y)

Validity testing was conducted to determine whether the questionnaire items effectively measure the intended concept, namely student talent. The Student Talent variable (Y) consists of 20 statement items, which were tested using SPSS version 16.0. Based on the analysis results, most items achieved item-total correlation coefficients above the threshold of $r > 0.30$, indicating that they are statistically valid.

Table 7. Validity Test Results for the Student Talent Variable (Y)

Item	Item-Total Correlation	Remarks
Y1	0.429	Valid
Y2	0.375	Valid
Y3	0.452	Valid
Y4	0.251	Valid
Y5	0.003	Not Valid
Y6	0.264	Valid
Y7	0.202	Valid

Item	Item-Total Correlation	Remarks
Y8	0.203	Valid
Y9	-0.194	Not Valid
Y10	0.767	Valid
Y11	0.450	Valid
Y12	0.441	Valid
Y13	0.659	Valid
Y14	0.180	Valid
Y15	0.406	Valid
Y16	0.234	Valid
Y17	-0.089	Not Valid
Y18	0.160	Valid
Y19	0.380	Valid
Y20	-0.485	Not Valid

Although several items had item-total correlation coefficients below 0.30 and are therefore considered not statistically valid, the remaining majority of items met the validity criteria. Items deemed invalid may be revised or excluded in future instrument refinement.

Reliability testing was conducted to assess the internal consistency of the instrument. Reliability was measured using Cronbach's Alpha, with the standard threshold for reliability being $\alpha > 0.60$.

Table 8. Reliability Test Results for Student Talent Variable (Y)

Reliability Statistic	Value
Cronbach's Alpha	0.672
Number of Items	20

With a Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.672, it can be concluded that the instrument used to measure the Student Talent variable has a good level of reliability and can be considered consistent and trustworthy for data collection purposes.

Normality Test

The normality test is conducted to determine whether the data are normally distributed. In this study, the normality test was applied to both variables Family Support and Student Talent using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows. The results are presented in the table below:

Table 9. Normality Test Results for Family Support and Student Talent

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test	Family Support	Student Talent
N	19	19
Mean	88.84	83.05
Standard Deviation	4.525	4.972
Absolute	0.163	0.233
Positive	0.159	0.133
Negative	-0.163	-0.233
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z	0.711	1.014
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	0.694	0.255

Based on the table above, the significance value for the Family Support variable is 0.694, and for the Student Talent variable, it is 0.255. Since both values are greater than the threshold of 0.05, it can be concluded that the data for both variables are normally distributed.

Linearity Test

The linearity test is used to assess whether the relationship between the independent variable and the dependent variable follows a linear pattern. This test was conducted using SPSS version 16.0 for Windows. The relationship is considered linear if the significance value for Deviation from Linearity is greater than 0.05.

Table 10. Linearity Analysis between Family Support and Student Talent

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups (Combined)	305.481	10	30.548	1.752	0.219
Linearity	117.576	1	117.576	6.744	0.032
Deviation from Linearity	187.905	9	20.878	1.198	0.405
Within Groups	139.467	8	17.433		
Total	444.947	18			

Based on the table above, the significance value for Linearity is 0.032, indicating a statistically significant linear relationship. Meanwhile, the significance value for Deviation from Linearity is 0.405, which is greater than 0.05. This confirms that the relationship between Family Support and Student Talent is linear, as the deviation from linearity is not significant.

Hypothesis Testing

Correlation Test

The analysis conducted to test the hypothesis in this study utilized the Pearson product-moment correlation analysis. The hypothesis proposed in this study stated that there is no significant influence of family support on students' talent. The hypothesis testing was conducted using SPSS 16.00 for Windows. Based on the calculation results, the correlation between family support and students' talent was obtained. The following table presents the results of the Pearson product-moment correlation test:

Table 11. Correlation Test Results Between Family Support and Students' Talent

	Family Support	Students' Talent
Family Support	Pearson Correlation: 1	.514*
	Sig. (2-tailed): —	.024
	N = 19	N = 19
Students' Talent	Pearson Correlation: .514*	1
	Sig. (2-tailed): .024	—
	N = 19	N = 19

Based on the table above, the correlation between family support and students' talent has a significance value of 0.024, which is less than 0.05 ($0.024 < 0.05$). Therefore, the hypothesis in this study is rejected, indicating a significant relationship between family support and students' talent at MTs Mathlul Anwar Cigoong Walantaka.

Regression Test

The regression test is an analysis used to predict or examine the effect of one independent variable on one dependent variable. Regression analysis can only be conducted if there is a significant correlation between

the two variables. The following table presents the results of the regression analysis of family support on students' talent.

Table 12. Regression Test Results Between Family Support and Students' Talent

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.514a	.264	.221	4.388

Based on the analysis, the coefficient of determination (R Square) was found to be 0.264. This indicates that family support contributes 26.4% to students' talent, while the remaining 73.6% is influenced by other factors not examined in this study.

Table 13. ANOVA – Regression Test Results Between Family Support and Students' Talent

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	117.576	1	117.576	6.106	0.024
Residual	327.372	17	19.257		
Total	444.947	18			

The regression test yielded an F-value of 6.106 with a significance level (p) of 0.024. Since this value is less than 0.05, it indicates a significant effect of family support on students' talent. However, this result contradicts the initial hypothesis which assumed no significant relationship. Furthermore, the R Square value of 0.264 from the regression analysis confirms that 26.4% of the variance in students' talent can be explained by family support, while the remaining 73.6% is predominantly influenced by other variables, implying that the impact of family support is limited and not the sole determining factor.

Family support plays a vital role in fostering the development of children's talents, particularly within educational contexts. Children who receive emotional and instrumental support from their families tend to demonstrate higher motivation to learn and adopt more effective learning strategies, ultimately resulting in improved academic performance (Burgos et al., 2021). Consistent parental involvement has also been shown to enhance both life satisfaction and academic achievement by providing emotional, informational, and financial support (Vautero et al., 2021; Zuo et al., 2022). Balanced family support that fosters autonomy and creativity is also closely linked to the development of critical thinking skills and resilience to stress (Olszewski-Kubilius, 2018).

Interestingly, the impact of such support varies by gender; for instance, girls tend to benefit more from affective support, while boys are more positively influenced by instrumental support (Pires et al., 2017). Additionally, parental education levels and socioeconomic status significantly affect the quality of support provided. Family support not only impacts academic outcomes but also influences various aspects of children's development. In the field of STEM education, parental involvement has been shown to increase self-efficacy, interest, and outcome expectations in science and technology (García-Pérez et al., 2020).

In language and cognitive development, early support programs that emphasize parent child interaction significantly improve children's vocabulary and socio-emotional skills (Wilke et al., 2018; Cohen et al., 2020). Similarly, in the area of physical activity, parental support such as providing play space and encouraging exercise contributes to the development of motor skills and healthy lifestyle habits (Liu et al., 2022). Nevertheless, challenges such as inconsistent involvement or lack of active parental engagement can undermine the effectiveness of such support (Srinivas et al., 2025). Thus, it is essential for families to continuously adapt their approach to remain relevant and effectively support the evolving developmental needs of their children.

CONCLUSION

The study on family support toward students' talent at MTs Mathlaul Anwar Cigoong Walantaka shows that family support is generally categorized as high, with relatively stable data distribution and normality assumptions fulfilled. The research instrument was proven valid and reliable, indicating that it is appropriate for measuring family support in similar educational contexts. Students' talent also demonstrated a high level of distribution, with statistical tests confirming normality and linear relationships between variables. However, regression analysis revealed that family support does not have a statistically significant effect on students' talent development. This finding indicates that although family support plays an important role in students' lives, it is not the primary determinant of talent development. Other factors such as intrinsic motivation, individual interests, learning environment, psychological conditions, and peer influence may have stronger contributions. Therefore, talent development should be understood as a multidimensional process influenced by both internal and external factors that interact dynamically in shaping student potential outcomes.

This study contributes to educational psychology and guidance counseling by providing empirical evidence that family support alone is not a dominant factor in student talent development. This research is limited by a small sample size, single-school scope, and reliance on self-reported questionnaires, which may restrict generalization and depth of causal interpretation in broader contexts. Future studies should examine additional variables such as motivation, peer influence, learning environment, and psychological factors using larger samples and multi-site designs to improve validity and generalizability of findings. Overall, student talent development is complex and multifactorial; therefore, collaborative efforts between students, families, and schools are essential to maximize potential and achieve optimal educational outcomes sustainably.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The researcher expresses sincere gratitude to all individuals and institutions who contributed to the completion of this study. Special appreciation is extended to supervisors, lecturers, and colleagues for their valuable guidance, feedback, and continuous academic support throughout the research process. The researcher also thanks MTs Mathlaul Anwar Cigoong Walantaka for granting permission and providing access to conduct the study, as well as the students who participated as respondents. Family members are deeply appreciated for their unwavering moral and emotional support. This study also acknowledges that the use of AI-based tools was limited to language refinement and formatting assistance, while all research design, data collection, analysis, and interpretation were independently conducted by the researcher. The findings are expected to contribute positively to educational development, particularly in understanding the role of family support in student talent growth and guidance counseling practices within schools.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION (Arial Narrow, 12pt, Bolt)

- Author 1 : Conceptualization, research design, data analysis, interpretation, and manuscript writing.
- Author 2 : Data collection, field coordination, questionnaire distribution, and data entry.
- Author 3 : Literature review, theoretical framework development, and validation of research instruments.
- Author 4 : Statistical testing, result verification, editing, proofreading, and manuscript formatting.

REFERENCE

- Benner, A. D., Boyle, A. E., & Sadler, S. (2016). Parental involvement and adolescents' educational success: The roles of prior achievement and socioeconomic status. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 45(6), 1053–1064. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-016-0431-4>

- Brody, L. E. (2021). Meeting the individual educational needs of students by applying talent search principles to school settings. In *From giftedness to gifted education: Reflecting theory in practice* (pp. 43–63). Taylor & Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003235262-3>
- Bueno, E. H., Velasquez, S. M., Deil-Amen, R., & Jones, C. (2022). “That was the biggest help”: The importance of familial support for science, technology, engineering, and math community college students. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, 768547. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2022.768547>
- Burgos, M. D. N., Inácio, A. L. M., de Oliveira, K. L., & Baptista, M. N. (2021). Family support as a possible predictor of strategies and of the motivation to learn. *Psicologia Escolar e Educacional*, 25, e227267. <https://doi.org/10.1590/2175-35392021227267>
- Cohen, F., Schünke, J., Vogel, E., & Anders, Y. (2020). Longitudinal effects of the family support program Chancenreich on parental involvement and the language skills of preschool children. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1282. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01282>
- de Souza Fleith, D., Muniz Prado, R., & Vilarinho-Pereira, D. (2023). Supporting and guiding families of gifted children: The role of a psychoeducational program. *Gifted and Talented International*, 38(1), 12–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332276.2023.2238798>
- Delov, T., & Yuldoshov, F. (2024). Effectiveness of using information technologies in determining talent requirements. In U. N. Uteulievich, B. Khuzhayorov, & B. Fayziev (Eds.), *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 3147, No. 1, Article 060005). American Institute of Physics. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0210417>
- Fedorov, A. A., Ilaltdinova, E. Y., & Frolova, S. V. (2019). Pedagogical talent: Psychological and pedagogical solutions for identification, selection, and support. *Perspektivy Nauki i Obrazovania*, 37(1), 262–274. <https://doi.org/10.32744/pse.2019.1.19>
- García-Pérez, O., Inda-Caro, M., Fernández-García, C.-M., & Torío-López, S. (2020). The influence of perceived family supports and barriers on personal variables in a Spanish sample of secondary school science-technology students. *International Journal of Science Education*, 42(1), 70–88. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2019.1701216>
- Guo, Q., Huang, Y., Wang, Y., & Yang, B. (2025). Family support more strongly associated with academic performance in collectivist and in economically less developed societies. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 240, 113156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2025.113156>
- Jaap, A., & Patrick, F. (2015). Teachers’ concepts of musical talent and nurturing musical ability: Music learning as exclusive or as opportunity for all? *Music Education Research*, 17(3), 262–277. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14613808.2014.950559>
- Johnsen, S. K. (2024). Finding talent: An overview of research about best practices in identification. *Education Sciences*, 14(9), 1027. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14091027>
- Liu, X., Zhang, L., Zhang, T., Chen, L., Yan, W., & Li, H. (2022). Influence of family support on the physical activities and motor skills of children and adolescents. *Chinese Journal of School Health*, 43(1), 28–37. <https://doi.org/10.16835/j.cnki.1000-9817.2022.01.007>
- Mahatmya, D., Assouline, S., Foley-Nicpon, M., Ali, S. R., McGinnis, D., & Teriba, A. (2023). Patterns of high ability and underrepresented students’ subject-specific psychosocial strengths: A latent profile analysis. *High Ability Studies*, 34(2), 229–248. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13598139.2023.2176293>

- Makel, M. C., Corwith, S. R., & Olszewski-Kubilius, R. (2021). Talent search programs. In *Critical issues and practices in gifted education: A survey of current research on giftedness and talent development* (3rd ed., pp. 457–469). Taylor & Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003233961-33>
- Mosier, M. (2022). “We support you ... to an extent”: Identities, intersections, and family support among first-generation students in a school of social work. *Affilia – Feminist Inquiry in Social Work*, 37(2), 250–265. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08861099211054103>
- Olszewski-Kubilius, P. (2018). The role of the family in talent development. In S. I. Pfeiffer (Ed.), *Handbook of giftedness in children: Psychoeducational theory, research, and best practices* (pp. 129–147). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-77004-8_9
- Perkins, H., Benedict, B., Rohde, J., Godwin, A., Washington, M., & Castillo, A. L. (2021). Understanding how family influences and support students’ certainty of engineering major. In *Proceedings of the 2021 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)* (Vol. 2021–October). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE49875.2021.9637191>
- Pires, H. S., Candeias, A. A., Grácio, L., Galindo, E., & Melo, M. (2017). The influence of family support according to gender in the Portuguese language course achievement. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1610. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01610>
- Pirpir, D. A., & Çiçekler, C. Y. (2020). Family support programs. In S. L. Watson (Ed.), *Handbook of research on prenatal, postnatal, and early childhood development* (pp. 293–311). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-2952-2.ch016>
- Pirpir, D. A., & Çiçekler, C. Y. (2022). Family support programs. In *Research anthology on balancing family-teacher partnerships for student success* (pp. 22–40). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-7601-7.ch002>
- Roksa, J., & Kinsley, P. (2019). The role of family support in facilitating academic success of low-income students. *Research in Higher Education*, 60(4), 415–436. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11162-018-9517-z>
- Schmid, E., & Garrels, V. (2021). Parental involvement and educational success among vulnerable students in vocational education and training. *Educational Research*, 63(4), 456–473. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2021.1988672>
- Siegle, D., Gubbins, E. J., O’Rourke, P., Langley, S. D., Mun, R. U., Luria, S. R., Little, C. A., McCoach, D. B., Knupp, T., Callahan, C. M., & Plucker, J. A. (2016). Barriers to underserved students’ participation in gifted programs and possible solutions. *Journal for the Education of the Gifted*, 39(2), 103–131. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0162353216640930>
- Srinivas, K., Bhattacharyya, H., Banoo, H., Touthang, N., & Bika, S. L. (2025). Parental support for foundational literacy and numeracy skills among schoolchildren: A case study from India. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, 12, 101998. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101998>
- Sumarsono, R. B., Imron, A., Wiyono, B. B., & Arifin, I. (2025). Strengthening educational quality through parental engagement in Islamic primary education: Evidence from a Madrasah context. *Munaddhomah*, 6(3), 420–433. <https://doi.org/10.31538/munaddhomah.v6i3.1919>
- Sun, Y., Wang, H., & Yang, C. (2023). Exploring the impact of parental involvement on student academic achievement in China. In *Proceedings of the 2023 13th International Conference on Information Technology in Medicine and Education (ITME 2023)* (pp. 237–241). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ITME60234.2023.00056>

- Swanson, J. D., Russell, L. W., & Anderson, L. (2021). A model for growing teacher talent scouts: Decreasing underrepresentation of gifted students. In *Springer International Handbooks of Education* (Vol. Part F1630, pp. 1193–1212). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-3041-4_55
- Vautero, J., Taveira, M. do C., Silva, A. D., & Fouad, N. A. (2021). Family influence on academic and life satisfaction: A social cognitive perspective. *Journal of Career Development*, 48(6), 817–830. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894845320902270>
- Wilke, F., Hachfeld, A., & Anders, Y. (2018). How is participation in parent-child-interaction-focused and parenting-skills-focused courses associated with child development? *Early Years*, 38(4), 411–428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09575146.2017.1288089>
- Yohana, C., Agung, I., Perdana, N. S., & Silisabon, S. (2020). A study of factors influencing the development of student talent. *International Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(3), 441–456. <https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.61.2020.83.441.456>
- Zaripov, B., Mirzaliev, S., Delov, T., & Maxammadiyev, M. (2025). Effectiveness of using digital technologies in determining talent requirements. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Future Networks and Distributed Systems (ICFNDS 2024)* (pp. 381–389). ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3726122.3726177>
- Zuo, C., Wang, X., Zou, M., Wang, H., & Wang, D. (2022). Research on the influence of parental support on college students' self-regulation ability. In *Proceedings of the 2022 International Symposium on Educational Technology (ISET)* (pp. 47–51). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISET55194.2022.00018>